

On the numerical integration of the Landau equation

Mechthild Thalhammer
Leopold–Franzens-Universität Innsbruck, Austria

Workshop on
Frontiers in numerical analysis of kinetic equations
Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences
Cambridge, May 2022

Objectives

Future objective. Study **operator splitting methods** for **multi-species Vlasov–Maxwell–Landau equations**. A favourable solver for the Landau equation represents a fundamental component of the entire algorithm.

Current objective. Focus on the study of the collision integral, that is, design **reliable** and **efficient methods** for

- the numerical evaluation of the **Landau operator** and
- the time integration of the spatially homogeneous **Landau equation**.

In preparation. J. A. CARRILLO, M. TH. *A novel approach for the reliable and efficient numerical evaluation of the Landau operator.*

Inspiration

J. A. CARRILLO, J. HU, L. WANG, J. WU.

A particle method for the homogeneous Landau equation.

Journal of Computational Physics X 7 (2020) 100066.

www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590055220300184

Y. LI, Y. HE, Y. SUN, J. NIESEN, H. QIN, J. LIU.

Solving the Vlasov–Maxwell equations using Hamiltonian splitting.

Journal of Computational Physics 396 (2019) 381–399.

www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021999119304784

L. PARESCI, G. RUSSO, G. TOSCANI.

Fast spectral methods for the Fokker–Planck–Landau collision operator.

Journal of Computational Physics 165 (2000) 216–236.

www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021999100966129

Y. WANG.

The two-species Vlasov–Maxwell–Landau system in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire 32 (2015)

1099–1123.

www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0294144914000523

Scope of applications

In the lines of CARRILLO ET AL. (2020).

The *Landau equation* represents a fundamental kinetic equation. It describes the evolution of the *distribution of charged particles in a collisional plasma* where grazing collisions are predominant.

Together with the Boltzmann equation, the Landau equation is considered to be one of the most important equations in kinetic theory. Relevant applications related to *fusion reactors* and the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) project gave rise to a renewed interest, amongst others in the field of computational plasma physics.

In the special *Maxwellian molecules case*, the equation is reduced to a sort of degenerate linear Fokker–Planck equation which preserves the same moments as the Landau equation.

The physically relevant *Coulomb case* can be derived from the Boltzmann equation in the grazing collision limit when particles interact via Coulomb forces.

Starting point

Landau equation. Consider the spatially homogeneous **Landau equation**

$$\partial_t f(v, t) = (Q(f, f))(v, t), \quad (v, t) \in \Omega \times [t_0, T] \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}.$$

By means of convenient abbreviations for the **integral kernel** and the term involving the outer product

$$\varphi(u) = C|u|^{-3}, \quad P(u) = |u|^2 I - u \otimes u,$$


the **Landau operator** takes the compact form

$$\begin{aligned} & (Q(f, f))(v, t) \\ &= \partial_v \cdot \int_{\Omega} \varphi(v-w) P(v-w) (\partial_v f(v, t) f(w, t) - f(v, t) \partial_w f(w, t)) \, dw. \end{aligned}$$

Challenges

Computational issues. The numerical simulation of the physically most relevant case with Coulomb interaction requires

- computations in three dimensions (integrand involves $(v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^6$),
- a careful treatment of the singular integral kernel $\varphi(u) = C|u|^{-3}$.




Integral kernel (intersection along $v_3 = 0$)

Guide line


Stepwise generalisation of the approach and validation of the implementation for test problems with known solutions.

- **Constant integral kernel.**
Maxwellian molecules case with BKW solution on unbounded domain.
- **Regular integral kernel.**
First test problem on bounded domain and second test problem with localised solution.
- **Singular integral kernel.**


Landau equation (Maxwellian molecules, $d = 3$)
Solution at time $t = 0$ (Section close to $v_3 = 0$)




Landau equation (Maxwellian molecules, $d = 3$)
Solution at time $t = 10$ (Section close to $v_3 = 0$)



Landau equation (Coulomb potential, $d = 3$)
Solution at time $t = 0$ (Section close to $v_3 = 0$)





Landau equation (Coulomb potential, $d = 3$)
Solution at time $t = 10$ (Section close to $v_3 = 0$)



Sorry, certain technicalities will arise ...

Focus

- **Accuracy of results.**
 Verification of approach on basis of several test problems.
- **Efficiency of approach.**
 Exploitation of savings by precomputations.
- **Reliability in integration.**
 Study of stability and conserved quantities.



Maxwellian molecules case (BKW solution)

General approach

**First representation of Landau operator.
Fundamental means regarding implementation.**

First representation

Approach. Specify the Landau operator in components

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (Q(f, f))(v) \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{v_1} \\ \partial_{v_2} \\ \partial_{v_3} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \int_{\Omega} \varphi(v-w) \\
 & \quad \times \begin{pmatrix} (v_2-w_2)^2 + (v_3-w_3)^2 & -(v_1-w_1)(v_2-w_2) & -(v_1-w_1)(v_3-w_3) \\ -(v_1-w_1)(v_2-w_2) & (v_1-w_1)^2 + (v_3-w_3)^2 & -(v_2-w_2)(v_3-w_3) \\ -(v_1-w_1)(v_3-w_3) & -(v_2-w_2)(v_3-w_3) & (v_1-w_1)^2 + (v_2-w_2)^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
 & \quad \times \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{v_1} f(v) f(w) - f(v) \partial_{w_1} f(w) \\ \partial_{v_2} f(v) f(w) - f(v) \partial_{w_2} f(w) \\ \partial_{v_3} f(v) f(w) - f(v) \partial_{w_3} f(w) \end{pmatrix} dw, \quad v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \Omega,
 \end{aligned}$$

and perform straightforward calculations (expand integrand, determine divergence).

Notation. For notational simplicity, omit the dependence of the density function and the Landau operator on time.

First representation

Approach. Obtain a first representation of the Landau operator involving **derivatives** of the density function and linear **operators** that comprise (quite a few) integrals

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Q(f, f))(v) &= (Q_{000}(f))(v) f(v) \\
 &+ (Q_{100}(f))(v) \partial_{v_1} f(v) + (Q_{010}(f))(v) \partial_{v_2} f(v) \\
 &+ (Q_{001}(f))(v) \partial_{v_3} f(v) \\
 &+ (Q_{200}(f))(v) \partial_{v_1}^2 f(v) + (Q_{110}(f))(v) \partial_{v_1 v_2} f(v) \\
 &+ (Q_{101}(f))(v) \partial_{v_1 v_3} f(v) + (Q_{020}(f))(v) \partial_{v_2}^2 f(v) \\
 &+ (Q_{011}(f))(v) \partial_{v_2 v_3} f(v) + (Q_{002}(f))(v) \partial_{v_3}^2 f(v), \quad v \in \Omega.
 \end{aligned}$$

Decisive integrals

Notation. Employ a convenient symbolic notation for the arising **decisive integrals** (indicate the degrees of the monomials as well as the orders of the derivatives of the integral kernel and the density function)

$$I_{w^{i_1 i_2 i_3} \varphi^{j_1 j_2 j_3} f^{k_1 k_2 k_3}}(v) \\
 = \int_{\Omega} w_1^{i_1} w_2^{i_2} w_3^{i_3} \partial_{v_1}^{j_1} \partial_{v_2}^{j_2} \partial_{v_3}^{j_3} \varphi(v-w) \partial_{w_1}^{k_1} \partial_{w_2}^{k_2} \partial_{w_3}^{k_3} f(w) \, dw, \quad v \in \Omega.$$

Arising operators

A glance at the arising operators is enough ... ;-)

$$\begin{aligned} & (Q_{200}(f))(v) \\ &= v_2^2 I_{w000} \varphi_{000} f_{000}(v) + v_3^2 I_{w000} \varphi_{000} f_{000}(v) - 2v_2 I_{w010} \varphi_{000} f_{000}(v) \\ &\quad - 2v_3 I_{w001} \varphi_{000} f_{000}(v) + I_{w020} \varphi_{000} f_{000}(v) + I_{w002} \varphi_{000} f_{000}(v), \\ & (Q_{110}(f))(v) \\ &= -2v_1 v_2 I_{w000} \varphi_{000} f_{000}(v) + 2v_1 I_{w010} \varphi_{000} f_{000}(v) + 2v_2 I_{w100} \varphi_{000} f_{000}(v) \\ &\quad - 2I_{w110} \varphi_{000} f_{000}(v). \end{aligned}$$

Arising operators

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Q_{100}(f))(v) &= v_1 v_2 (-I_{w000} \varphi_{010} f_{000}(v) + I_{w000} \varphi_{000} f_{010}(v)) \\
 &\quad + v_1 v_3 (-I_{w000} \varphi_{001} f_{000}(v) + I_{w000} \varphi_{000} f_{001}(v)) \\
 &\quad + v_2^2 (I_{w000} \varphi_{100} f_{000}(v) - I_{w000} \varphi_{000} f_{100}(v)) \\
 &\quad + v_3^2 (I_{w000} \varphi_{100} f_{000}(v) - I_{w000} \varphi_{000} f_{100}(v)) \\
 &\quad + v_1 (-2I_{w000} \varphi_{000} f_{000}(v) + I_{w010} \varphi_{010} f_{000}(v) + I_{w001} \varphi_{001} f_{000}(v) \\
 &\quad - I_{w010} \varphi_{000} f_{010}(v) - I_{w001} \varphi_{000} f_{001}(v)) \\
 &\quad + v_2 (-2I_{w010} \varphi_{100} f_{000}(v) + I_{w100} \varphi_{010} f_{000}(v) + 2I_{w010} \varphi_{000} f_{100}(v) \\
 &\quad - I_{w100} \varphi_{000} f_{010}(v)) \\
 &\quad + v_3 (-2I_{w001} \varphi_{100} f_{000}(v) + I_{w100} \varphi_{001} f_{000}(v) + 2I_{w001} \varphi_{000} f_{100}(v) \\
 &\quad - I_{w100} \varphi_{000} f_{001}(v)) \\
 &\quad + 2I_{w100} \varphi_{000} f_{000}(v) + I_{w020} \varphi_{100} f_{000}(v) + I_{w002} \varphi_{100} f_{000}(v) \\
 &\quad - I_{w110} \varphi_{010} f_{000}(v) - I_{w101} \varphi_{001} f_{000}(v) - I_{w020} \varphi_{000} f_{100}(v) \\
 &\quad - I_{w002} \varphi_{000} f_{100}(v) + I_{w110} \varphi_{000} f_{010}(v) + I_{w101} \varphi_{000} f_{001}(v).
 \end{aligned}$$

Arising operators

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Q_{000}(f))(v) &= -v_1^2(I_{w000\varphi010}f_{010}(v) + I_{w000\varphi001}f_{001}(v)) + v_1v_2(I_{w000\varphi010}f_{100}(v) + I_{w000\varphi100}f_{010}(v)) \\
 &\quad + v_1v_3(I_{w000\varphi001}f_{100}(v) + I_{w000\varphi100}f_{001}(v)) - v_2^2(I_{w000\varphi100}f_{100}(v) + I_{w000\varphi001}f_{001}(v)) \\
 &\quad + v_2v_3(I_{w000\varphi001}f_{010}(v) + I_{w000\varphi010}f_{001}(v)) - v_3^2(I_{w000\varphi100}f_{100}(v) + I_{w000\varphi010}f_{010}(v)) \\
 &\quad + v_1(2I_{w000\varphi000}f_{100}(v) - I_{w010\varphi010}f_{100}(v) - I_{w001\varphi001}f_{100}(v) - I_{w010\varphi100}f_{010}(v) + 2I_{w100\varphi010}f_{010}(v) \\
 &\quad - I_{w001\varphi100}f_{001}(v) + 2I_{w100\varphi001}f_{001}(v)) \\
 &\quad + v_2(2I_{w010\varphi100}f_{100}(v) - I_{w100\varphi010}f_{100}(v) + 2I_{w000\varphi000}f_{010}(v) - I_{w100\varphi100}f_{010}(v) - I_{w001\varphi001}f_{010}(v) \\
 &\quad - I_{w001\varphi010}f_{001}(v) + 2I_{w010\varphi001}f_{001}(v)) \\
 &\quad + v_3(2I_{w001\varphi100}f_{100}(v) - I_{w100\varphi001}f_{100}(v) + 2I_{w001\varphi010}f_{010}(v) - I_{w010\varphi001}f_{010}(v) + 2I_{w000\varphi000}f_{001}(v) \\
 &\quad - I_{w100\varphi100}f_{001}(v) - I_{w010\varphi010}f_{001}(v)) \\
 &\quad - 2I_{w100\varphi000}f_{100}(v) - I_{w020\varphi100}f_{100}(v) - I_{w002\varphi100}f_{100}(v) + I_{w110\varphi010}f_{100}(v) + I_{w101\varphi001}f_{100}(v) \\
 &\quad - 2I_{w010\varphi000}f_{010}(v) + I_{w110\varphi100}f_{010}(v) - I_{w200\varphi010}f_{010}(v) - I_{w002\varphi010}f_{010}(v) + I_{w011\varphi001}f_{010}(v) \\
 &\quad - 2I_{w001\varphi000}f_{001}(v) + I_{w101\varphi100}f_{001}(v) + I_{w011\varphi010}f_{001}(v) - I_{w200\varphi001}f_{001}(v) - I_{w020\varphi001}f_{001}(v).
 \end{aligned}$$

The numerical verification of the correctness of all terms on test problems with known solutions seemed to be a good idea ... ;-)

Fundamental means

Main task. Compute suitable approximations to the arising derivatives and the decisive integrals, e.g.

$$\partial_{v_1}^2 f(v), \quad I_{w \in \Omega} \varphi_{000} f_{000}(v) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(v-w) f(w) \, dw, \quad v \in \Omega.$$

Approach.



- Application of **Fourier spectral method**
- **Quadrature approximation** nearby singularity of kernel
- Identification of **basic integrals** involving Fourier functions

Implementation.

- Use of **fast Fourier techniques** (FFT / IFFT)
- Summation along certain directions (*einsum*)
- Observation of **reduced computational complexity**

For the moment ...

Remark. The following considerations are valid for a **regular integral kernel**. The modified approach for a kernel with a **singularity at the origin** is detailed below.



Just for the moment, to have a picture in mind ...

Fourier spectral method

Fourier functions. Consider well-known **Fourier functions**

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_\kappa^{(\alpha)}(\xi) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}} e^{\mu_\kappa^{(\alpha)}(\xi - \alpha_1)}, \quad \mu_\kappa^{(\alpha)} = \frac{2\pi i \kappa}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}, \\ \mathcal{F}_\kappa^{(\alpha)}(\alpha_1) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}} = \mathcal{F}_\kappa^{(\alpha)}(\alpha_2), \quad \partial_\xi \mathcal{F}_\kappa^{(\alpha)}(\xi) = \mu_\kappa^{(\alpha)} \mathcal{F}_\kappa^{(\alpha)}(\xi), \\ \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \alpha &= (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \alpha_1 < \alpha_2.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, in three dimensions, denote


$$\begin{aligned}F_m(v) &= \mathcal{F}_{m_1}^{(b_{11}, b_{12})}(v_1) \mathcal{F}_{m_2}^{(b_{21}, b_{22})}(v_2) \mathcal{F}_{m_3}^{(b_{31}, b_{32})}(v_3), \\ v &= (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}, \quad m = (m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathcal{M}, \\ \Omega_{\mathcal{F}} &= [b_{11}, b_{12}] \times [b_{21}, b_{22}] \times [b_{31}, b_{32}], \\ \mathcal{M} &= \{-\frac{1}{2} M_1, \dots, \frac{1}{2} M_1 - 1\} \times \dots \times \{-\frac{1}{2} M_3, \dots, \frac{1}{2} M_3 - 1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^3.\end{aligned}$$

Fourier spectral method


General benefits.

- High accuracy for localised regular functions
- High efficiency (implementation based on FFT / IFFT)

Landau equation (Coulomb potential, $d = 3$)
Solution at time $t = 0$ (Section along $v_3 = 0$)



Landau equation (Coulomb potential, $d = 3$)
Solution at time $t = 20$ (Section along $v_3 = 0$)



Fourier spectral method

Within our setting. Recall the typical form of the decisive integrals

$$I_{w000} \varphi_{000} f_{000}(v) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(v-w) f(w) \, dw, \quad v \in \Omega.$$

Specific advantage. The particular identity

$$F_{\ell}(v-w) F_m(w) = \Gamma_{\ell}^{(b)} F_{\ell}(v) F_{m-\ell}(w),$$

$$\Gamma_{\ell}^{(b)} = e^{-\mu_{\ell_1}^{(b_{11}, b_{12})}} b_{11} e^{-\mu_{\ell_2}^{(b_{21}, b_{22})}} b_{21} e^{-\mu_{\ell_3}^{(b_{31}, b_{32})}} b_{31},$$

suggests to study Fourier series expansions for both, the density function and the integral kernel.

Fourier spectral method

Fourier series expansions. Use approximations based on Fourier series expansions for the **integral kernel** and its (known) **derivatives**

$$\varphi(v) \approx \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \varphi_\ell F_\ell(v),$$

$$\partial_{v_1}^{j_1} \partial_{v_2}^{j_2} \partial_{v_3}^{j_3} \varphi(v) \approx \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \varphi_{j_1 j_2 j_3 \ell} F_\ell(v).$$

Employ a Fourier series expansion for the **density function** and the resulting representations for its **derivatives**

$$f(v) \approx \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} f_m F_m(v),$$

$$\partial_{v_1}^{k_1} \partial_{v_2}^{k_2} \partial_{v_3}^{k_3} f(v) \approx \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} f_m \left(\mu_{m_1}^{(b_{11}, b_{12})} \right)^{k_1} \left(\mu_{m_2}^{(b_{21}, b_{22})} \right)^{k_2} \left(\mu_{m_3}^{(b_{31}, b_{32})} \right)^{k_3} F_m(v).$$

Basic integrals

Calculations. Straightforward calculations yield explicit representations for **basic integrals** involving monomials and Fourier functions

$$I_j(k, m_j) = \int_{b_{j1}}^{b_{j2}} w_j^k \mathcal{F}_{m_j}^{(b_{j1}, b_{j2})}(w_j) dw_j = \begin{cases} \sqrt{b_{j2} - b_{j1}}, & m_j = 0, \quad k = 0, \\ \frac{b_{j2}^2 - b_{j1}^2}{2\sqrt{b_{j2} - b_{j1}}}, & m_j = 0, \quad k = 1, \\ \frac{b_{j2}^3 - b_{j1}^3}{3\sqrt{b_{j2} - b_{j1}}}, & m_j = 0, \quad k = 2, \\ 0, & m_j \neq 0, \quad k = 0, \\ \frac{\sqrt{b_{j2} - b_{j1}}}{(\mu_{m_j})^{m_j}}, & m_j \neq 0, \quad k = 1, \\ \frac{(b_{j2}^2 - b_{j1}^2) \mu_{m_j}^{(b_{j1}, b_{j2})} - 2(b_{j2} - b_{j1})}{\sqrt{b_{j2} - b_{j1}} (\mu_{m_j}^{(b_{j1}, b_{j2})})^2}, & m_j \neq 0, \quad k = 2, \end{cases} \quad j \in \{1, \dots, d\}.$$

Reductions. The observed simplification leads to **significant reductions** in **computational complexity** for three dimensions.

Constant integral kernel

**Maxwellian molecules case.
BKW solution on unbounded domain.**

Maxwellian molecules case

Setting. Study the particular situation, where the underlying domain for the velocity coincides with the Euclidian space and the Landau operator involves a **constant integral kernel**

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(v) &= C, \\ (Q(f, f))(v) &= C \partial_v \cdot \int_{\Omega} P(v-w) (\partial_v f(v) f(w) - f(v) \partial_w f(w)) \, dw, \\ v \in \Omega &= \mathbb{R}^d.\end{aligned}$$

BWK solution

Landau equation. The **BKW solution** to the Landau equation involving a constant kernel is given by

$$\varphi(v) = C = \frac{1}{24}, \quad (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = \left(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{6}\right), \quad K(t) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} e^{-\alpha_3 t},$$

$$f(v, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi K(t))^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{K(t)} |v|^2} \left(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \frac{1}{K(t)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1-K(t)}{(K(t))^2} |v|^2 \right),$$

$$\partial_t f(v, t) = (Q(f, f))(v, t), \quad (v, t) \in \Omega \times [t_0, T].$$

Landau operator. For a particular choice of the density function, the associated **Landau operator** reads as

$$f(v) = \frac{1}{2\pi^{3/2}} e^{-|v|^2} (2|v|^2 - 1),$$

$$(Q(f, f))(v) = \frac{1}{6\pi^{3/2}} e^{-|v|^2} (|v|^4 - 5|v|^2 + \frac{15}{4}), \quad v \in \Omega.$$

**An ideal situation to verify our approach
 and perform first numerical tests. :-)**

A few more details ...

Recall the representation for the **Landau operator**

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Q(f, f))(v) &= (Q_{000}(f))(v) f(v) \\
 &\quad + (Q_{100}(f))(v) \partial_{v_1} f(v) + (Q_{010}(f))(v) \partial_{v_2} f(v) \\
 &\quad + (Q_{001}(f))(v) \partial_{v_3} f(v) \\
 &\quad + (Q_{200}(f))(v) \partial_{v_1}^2 f(v) + (Q_{110}(f))(v) \partial_{v_1 v_2} f(v) \\
 &\quad + (Q_{101}(f))(v) \partial_{v_1 v_3} f(v) + (Q_{020}(f))(v) \partial_{v_2}^2 f(v) \\
 &\quad + (Q_{011}(f))(v) \partial_{v_2 v_3} f(v) + (Q_{002}(f))(v) \partial_{v_3}^2 f(v), \quad v \in \Omega.
 \end{aligned}$$

Based on the Fourier spectral coefficients $(f_m)_{m \in \mathcal{M}}$ of the density function, approximations to its **derivatives** are computed through

$$\partial_{v_1}^{k_1} \partial_{v_2}^{k_2} \partial_{v_3}^{k_3} f(v) \approx \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} f_m \left(\mu_{m_1}^{(b_{11}, b_{12})} \right)^{k_1} \left(\mu_{m_2}^{(b_{21}, b_{22})} \right)^{k_2} \left(\mu_{m_3}^{(b_{31}, b_{32})} \right)^{k_3} F_m(v).$$

A few more details ...

Due to the fact that the integral kernel is constant and its derivatives vanish, **approximations to the decisive integrals** are obtained through

$$\begin{aligned}
 & C \tilde{I}_{w i_1 i_2 i_3 f k_1 k_2 k_3} \\
 &= C \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} f_m^{(F)} \left(\mu_{m_1}^{(b_{11}, b_{12})} \right)^{k_1} \left(\mu_{m_2}^{(b_{21}, b_{22})} \right)^{k_2} \left(\mu_{m_3}^{(b_{31}, b_{32})} \right)^{k_3} \\
 &\quad \times I_1(i_1, m_1) I_2(i_2, m_2) I_3(i_3, m_3), \\
 &\approx I_{w i_1 i_2 i_3 \varphi 000 f k_1 k_2 k_3} = C \int_{\Omega} w_1^{i_1} w_2^{i_2} w_3^{i_3} \partial_{w_1}^{k_1} \partial_{w_2}^{k_2} \partial_{w_3}^{k_3} f(w) \, dw.
 \end{aligned}$$

A few more details ...

Overall, the implementation is simpler ...

$$(Q_{000}(f))(v) \approx 2C(-\tilde{I}_{w100}f_{100} - \tilde{I}_{w010}f_{010} - \tilde{I}_{w001}f_{001}),$$

$$(Q_{100}(f))(v) \approx C(v_1(-2\tilde{I}_{w000}f_{000} - \tilde{I}_{w010}f_{010} - \tilde{I}_{w001}f_{001}) + 2\tilde{I}_{w100}f_{000} + \tilde{I}_{w110}f_{010} + \tilde{I}_{w101}f_{001}),$$

$$(Q_{010}(f))(v) \approx C(v_2(-2\tilde{I}_{w000}f_{000} - \tilde{I}_{w100}f_{100} - \tilde{I}_{w001}f_{001}) + 2\tilde{I}_{w010}f_{000} + \tilde{I}_{w110}f_{100} + \tilde{I}_{w011}f_{001}),$$

$$(Q_{001}(f))(v) \approx C(v_3(-2\tilde{I}_{w000}f_{000} - \tilde{I}_{w100}f_{100} - \tilde{I}_{w010}f_{010}) + 2\tilde{I}_{w001}f_{000} + \tilde{I}_{w101}f_{100} + \tilde{I}_{w011}f_{010}),$$

$$(Q_{200}(f))(v) \approx C(v_2^2\tilde{I}_{w000}f_{000} + v_3^2\tilde{I}_{w000}f_{000} - 2v_2\tilde{I}_{w010}f_{000} - 2v_3\tilde{I}_{w001}f_{000} + \tilde{I}_{w020}f_{000} + \tilde{I}_{w002}f_{000}),$$

$$(Q_{110}(f))(v) \approx 2C(-v_1v_2\tilde{I}_{w000}f_{000} + v_1\tilde{I}_{w010}f_{000} + v_2\tilde{I}_{w100}f_{000} - \tilde{I}_{w110}f_{000}),$$





$$(Q_{101}(f))(v) \approx 2C(-v_1v_3\tilde{I}_{w000}f_{000} + v_1\tilde{I}_{w001}f_{000} + v_3\tilde{I}_{w100}f_{000} - \tilde{I}_{w101}f_{000}),$$

$$(Q_{020}(f))(v) \approx C(v_1^2\tilde{I}_{w000}f_{000} + v_3^2\tilde{I}_{w000}f_{000} - 2v_1\tilde{I}_{w100}f_{000} - 2v_3\tilde{I}_{w001}f_{000} + \tilde{I}_{w200}f_{000} + \tilde{I}_{w002}f_{000}),$$

$$(Q_{011}(f))(v) \approx 2C(-v_2v_3\tilde{I}_{w000}f_{000} + v_2\tilde{I}_{w001}f_{000} + v_3\tilde{I}_{w010}f_{000} - \tilde{I}_{w011}f_{000}),$$

$$(Q_{002}(f))(v) \approx C(v_1^2\tilde{I}_{w000}f_{000} + v_2^2\tilde{I}_{w000}f_{000} - 2v_1\tilde{I}_{w100}f_{000} - 2v_2\tilde{I}_{w010}f_{000} + \tilde{I}_{w200}f_{000} + \tilde{I}_{w020}f_{000}).$$

Illustration



Profiles of the BKW solution at two times (right) and corresponding cross sections (left).

https://techmath.uibk.ac.at/mecht/MyHomepage/Research/Movie_Maxwellian_Solution3d.m4v
https://techmath.uibk.ac.at/mecht/MyHomepage/Research/Movie_Maxwellian_Solution3dSection.m4v



Numerical tests

Verification, computation time, accuracy. Study the numerical evaluation of the Landau operator based on slightly differing implementations for a non-symmetric domain versus a symmetric domain

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{F}} = [-9, 10] \times [-10, 11] \times [-11, 12], \quad |\mathcal{M}| = M_1 \cdot M_2 \cdot M_3 = 100 \cdot 110 \cdot 120,$$

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{F}} = [-10, 10]^3, \quad |\mathcal{M}| = M^3 = 100^3.$$

Verify the correctness of the approach. Observe a reasonable magnitude of the overall computation times and **highly accurate results** (confirmed by a comparison with the two-dimensional case).



Numerical tests

Stability, accuracy, conserved quantities. Study the time integration of the Landau equation based on a fourth-order explicit Runge–Kutta method.

- At each time step, compute the discrete L^2 -error of the solution.
- Determine approximations to mass and energy (conserved quantities)

$$\int_{\Omega} f(v, t) \, dv = \int_{\Omega} f(v, t_0) \, dv, \quad \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 f(v, t) \, dv = \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 f(v, t_0) \, dv,$$

and observe the decay of the entropy (not illustrated here)




$$\int_{\Omega} f(v, t) \ln(f(v, t)) \, dv \leq \int_{\Omega} f(v, t_0) \ln(f(v, t_0)) \, dv, \quad t \in [t_0, T].$$

Splitting approach. Contrast the results with those obtained by a Lie-splitting-type method, which provides a reliable first-order alternative, since in particular the terms related to the second-order derivatives $\partial_{v_1}^2 f, \partial_{v_2}^2 f, \partial_{v_3}^2 f$ are treated separately in a semi-implicit manner.

Numerical tests



Stability. Verify the temporal orders of time integration methods.

- The observations hold for the explicit Euler method and higher-order explicit Runge–Kutta methods.
- For relatively small time stepsizes, obtain the expected results. For larger time stepsizes, the procedure fails due to **severe stability issues!**
- A first-order Lie–Splitting-type method provides a robust alternative. Even for a significantly higher number of Fourier functions ($M^3 = 100^3$), it yields a **reliable result** for any choice of the time stepsize.



Numerical tests ($d = 2$)



Long-term integration ($d = 2$).



Exact solution and convergence towards equilibrium (time $T = 100$).

Numerical tests ($d = 2$)


Long-term integration ($d = 2$).



Numerical solution obtained by an adaptive explicit Runge–Kutta methods (ode45) and convergence towards **equilibrium** (time $T = 100$).

Numerical tests ($d = 2$)




Long-term integration ($d = 2$).



Time integration by an adaptive explicit Runge–Kutta methods (ode45).
Approximation of **mass** and **energy** at times $t = 10, 20, 50$ (left to right).

Numerical tests ($d = 2$)

Long-term integration ($d = 2$).



Time integration by an adaptive explicit Runge–Kutta methods (ode45).
Approximation of the **solution** and **associated quantities** until time $T = 100$.

Regular integral kernel

**First test problem on bounded domain.
Second test problem with localised solution.**

Approach

Setting. Extend the former approach for the Maxwellian molecules case and study the more general situation, where the Landau operator involves a **regular integral kernel**

$$(Q(f, f))(v) = \partial_v \cdot \int_{\Omega} \varphi(v-w) P(v-w) (\partial_v f(v) f(w) - f(v) \partial_w f(w)) \, dw,$$
$$v \in \Omega.$$

Use that favourable approximations to the kernel and its derivatives are provided by **Fourier series expansions**.

A few more details ...

Fundamental means. Recall the relations for the **decisive integrals**, the approximations by Fourier series for the **kernel** and the **density functions** as well as their derivatives, and the **particular identity** for Fourier functions

$$I_{w_1 i_1 i_2 i_3} \varphi_{j_1 j_2 j_3} f_{k_1 k_2 k_3}(v) = \int_{\Omega} w_1^{i_1} w_2^{i_2} w_3^{i_3} \partial_{v_1}^{j_1} \partial_{v_2}^{j_2} \partial_{v_3}^{j_3} \varphi(v-w) \partial_{w_1}^{k_1} \partial_{w_2}^{k_2} \partial_{w_3}^{k_3} f(w) \, dw,$$

$$\partial_{v_1}^{j_1} \partial_{v_2}^{j_2} \partial_{v_3}^{j_3} \varphi(v-w) \approx \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \varphi_{j_1 j_2 j_3 \ell} F_{\ell}(v-w),$$

$$\partial_{v_1}^{k_1} \partial_{v_2}^{k_2} \partial_{v_3}^{k_3} f(v) \approx \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} f_m \left(\mu_{m_1}^{(b_{11}, b_{12})} \right)^{k_1} \left(\mu_{m_2}^{(b_{21}, b_{22})} \right)^{k_2} \left(\mu_{m_3}^{(b_{31}, b_{32})} \right)^{k_3} F_m(v),$$

$$F_{\ell}(v-w) F_m(w) = \Gamma_{\ell}^{(b)} F_{\ell}(v) F_{m-\ell}(w), \quad \Gamma_{\ell}^{(b)} = e^{-\mu_{\ell_1}^{(b_{11}, b_{12})} b_{11}} e^{-\mu_{\ell_2}^{(b_{21}, b_{22})} b_{21}} e^{-\mu_{\ell_3}^{(b_{31}, b_{32})} b_{31}}.$$

A few more details ...

Resulting approximations. Based on these relations, approximations to the decisive integrals are obtained

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tilde{I}_{w i_1 i_2 i_3 \varphi j_1 j_2 j_3 f k_1 k_2 k_3}(v) \\
 &= \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \varphi_\ell \Gamma_\ell^{(b)} \left(\mu_{\ell_1}^{(b_{11}, b_{12})} \right)^{j_1} \left(\mu_{\ell_2}^{(b_{21}, b_{22})} \right)^{j_2} \left(\mu_{\ell_3}^{(b_{31}, b_{32})} \right)^{j_3} F_\ell(v) \\
 & \quad \times \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} f_m I_1(i_1, m_1 - \ell_1) I_2(i_2, m_2 - \ell_2) I_3(i_3, m_3 - \ell_3) \\
 & \quad \times \left(\mu_{m_1}^{(b_{11}, b_{12})} \right)^{k_1} \left(\mu_{m_2}^{(b_{21}, b_{22})} \right)^{k_2} \left(\mu_{m_3}^{(b_{31}, b_{32})} \right)^{k_3} \\
 & \approx I_{w i_1 i_2 i_3 \varphi j_1 j_2 j_3 f k_1 k_2 k_3}(v), \quad v \in \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

A few more details ...

Implementation and observation. Essential ingredients for the **efficient implementation** are

- matrix multiplications,
- summations along certain directions (*einsum*),
- inverse fast Fourier transforms.

A **crucial observation** is that the costs for the computation of the inner sums amount to the evaluation of **single and double sums**.

Type of decisive integral	# Summations along directions (<i>einsum</i>)
w 000	0
w 100, w 010, w 001	1
w 200, w 020, w 002	1
w 110, w 101, w 011	2


Basic test problem

Maxwellian molecules case. For a constant kernel and a prescribed density function, the associated Landau operator is given by

$$f(v) = \frac{1}{2\pi^{3/2}} e^{-|v|^2} (2|v|^2 - 1),$$
$$(Q(f, f))(v) = \frac{1}{6\pi^{3/2}} e^{-|v|^2} (|v|^4 - 5|v|^2 + \frac{15}{4}), \quad v \in \Omega.$$

Numerical tests

Main purpose. Consider the Maxwellian molecules case as a **preliminary test problem** to verify the extended approach and implementation for regular integral kernels.



Maxwellian molecules case

Artificial test problem

Bounded domain. For a prescribed kernel and a prescribed density function, the associated **Landau operator** is given by

$$\varphi(v) = \cos(v_1) \cos(v_2) \cos(v_3),$$

$$f(v) = \sin(v_1) \sin(v_2) \sin(v_3),$$

$$q_{11}(v) = v_2 \sin(2v_2) \cos(2v_3) + v_3 \cos(2v_2) \sin(2v_3) - \frac{1}{2} v_2 \sin(2v_2) - \frac{1}{2} v_3 \sin(2v_3),$$

$$q_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \cos(2v_2) + \frac{1}{2} \cos(2v_3) - \frac{1}{2},$$

$$q_1(v) = q_{11}(v) + q_{12}(v),$$

$$q_2(v) = v_1 \sin(2v_1) \cos(2v_3) + \frac{1}{2} \cos(2v_3) - \frac{1}{2} v_1 \sin(2v_1) - \frac{1}{2} v_3 \sin(2v_3) - \frac{1}{2},$$

$$q_3(v) = -\frac{1}{2} v_1 \sin(2v_1) - \frac{1}{2} v_2 \sin(2v_2),$$


$$(Q(f, f))(v) = \frac{\pi^3}{2} \left(q_1(v) \cos(2v_1) + q_2(v) \cos(2v_2) + q_3(v) \cos(2v_3) - \cos^2(v_3) + \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

$$v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \Omega = [-\pi, \pi]^3.$$

Remark. The above test problem is **not suitable** for the verification of the general approach (considerations in the context of quadrature approximations apply to unbounded domains).

Numerical tests

Main purpose. Consider the above test problem on a bounded domain. Verify the extended approach and implementation for regular kernels. Observe **reasonable computation times** and **high accuracy**.



Test problem on bounded domain

Artificial test problem

Unbounded domain. For a prescribed kernel and a prescribed density function, the associated **Landau operator** is given by

$$\varphi(v) = C e^{-v_1^2 - 2v_2^2 - 3v_3^2},$$

$$f(v) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}v_1^2 - \frac{1}{4}v_2^2 - \frac{1}{8}v_3^2},$$

$$q_1(v) = -C \frac{\pi^{3/2} \sqrt{3}}{41006250} e^{-\frac{5}{6}v_1^2 - \frac{17}{36}v_2^2 - \frac{49}{200}v_3^2},$$

$$q_2(v) = 17500 v_1^2 v_2^2 + 7047 v_1^2 v_3^2 + 135 v_2^2 v_3^2 - 1005300 v_1^2 + 111000 v_2^2 + 46899 v_3^2 + 369900,$$


$$(Q(f, f))(v) = q_1(v) q_2(v),$$

$$v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \Omega = \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Remark. The above test problem is **suitable** for the verification of the general approach (considerations in the context of quadrature approximations apply).

Numerical tests

Main purpose. Consider the above test problem on a bounded domain. Verify the extended approach and implementation for regular kernels. Observe **reasonable computation times** and **high accuracy**.



Test problem on unbounded domain

Singular integral kernel

Approach

Setting. Extend the former approach for regular integral kernels and study the relevant situation, where the Landau operator involves an **integral kernel** with a **singularity at the origin**

$$\varphi(v) = C|v|^{-3}, \quad P(v) = |v|^2 I - v \otimes v,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (Q(f, f))(v, t) \\ &= \partial_v \cdot \int_{\Omega} \varphi(v-w) P(v-w) (\partial_v f(v, t) f(w, t) - f(v, t) \partial_w f(w, t)) \, dw. \end{aligned}$$

Approach

Starting point. Use the evident identity

$$\varphi = \underbrace{\psi}_{\substack{\text{regular function} \\ \text{above approach applies}}} + \underbrace{\varphi - \psi}_{\substack{\text{singular function} \\ \text{non-zero on small domain}}}$$



and analogous relations for the (known) derivatives of the kernel.

Realisation and implementation.

- Define a **small neighbourhood** of the origin, and determine the **linear interpolants** of the kernel and its derivatives.
- For the remaining **differences**, employ **quadrature approximations**.
- Transfer the main part of the additional effort to **precomputations**.

Preliminary numerical tests

Main purpose. Consider the Maxwellian molecules case as a **preliminary test problem** to verify the extended implementation for (possibly) singular integral kernels. Base **quadrature approximations** on width two (left) and four (right), respectively, and observe increasing **precomputation times**.



Maxwellian molecules case

Approach and artificial test problem


Test problem. Consider the above stated, more meaningful artificial test problem involving the regular integral kernel

$$\varphi(v) = C e^{-v_1^2 - 2v_2^2 - 3v_3^2}, \quad v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \Omega = \mathbb{R}^3.$$


**How to proceed when including quadrature approximations?
Pictures say more than a thousand words ...**

Approach and artificial test problem


Integral kernel ($d = 2$)




Derivative of integral kernel ($d = 2$)



Integral kernel ($d = 2$)
(Section along $v_2 = 0$)




Derivative of integral kernel ($d = 2$)
(Section along $v_2 = 0$)




Regular integral kernel and its first derivative.

Approach and artificial test problem

Restriction of kernel to neighbourhood of origin





Restriction of derivative to neighbourhood of origin



Restriction of the regular integral kernel and its derivative to a (small) neighbourhood of the origin, where linear interpolation is applied.


Approach and artificial test problem




Regular kernel and its derivative. **Linear interpolants.** Sections along indices.

Approach and artificial test problem


Linear interpolant of kernel




Linear interpolant of derivative



Difference of kernel and linear interpolant





Difference of kernel and linear interpolant



Linear interpolants of the regular kernel and its derivative (used in Fourier series approximation). Corresponding differences (used in quadrature approximation).

Approach and artificial test problem





Main purpose. Consider the artificial test problem involving a regular integral kernel. **Verify** the extended approach and implementation for a **possibly singular kernel**. Base the quadrature approximations on width two (left) and four (right), respectively. Observe **high accuracy**.



Finally ...





**... we are ready for numerical evaluation of the
Landau operator in three dimensions with Coulomb interaction.**

Approach and numerical tests



Integral kernel with a **singularity at the origin** and its first derivative.


Approach and numerical tests




Slight modification of the domain. By the choice of a **non-symmetric domain** the **coincidence** of a grid point with the singularity at the origin is **avoided**.

Approach and numerical tests

Restriction of kernel to neighbourhood of origin







Restriction of derivative to neighbourhood of origin



Restriction of the singular integral kernel and its derivative to a (small) neighbourhood of the origin, where linear interpolation is applied.


Approach and numerical tests




Singular kernel and its derivative. **Linear interpolants.** Sections along indices.

Approach and numerical tests


Linear interpolant of kernel




Linear interpolant of derivative



Difference of kernel and linear interpolant




Difference of derivative and linear interpolant




Linear interpolants of the singular kernel and its derivative (used in Fourier series approximation). Corresponding differences (used in quadrature approximation).

Illustration

Landau equation (Coulomb potential, $d = 3$)
Solution at time $t = 0$ (Section close to $v_3 = 0$)





Landau equation (Coulomb potential, $d = 3$)
Solution at time $t = 10$ (Section close to $v_3 = 0$)



Choice of the initial state accordingly to CARRILLO ET AL. (2020).
Profiles of the solution to the **Landau equation with Coulomb interaction**
at the initial time and a later time ($M^3 = 100^3$ Fourier functions).







https://techmath.uibk.ac.at/mecht/MyHomepage/Research/Movie_CoulombPotential_Solution3d.m4v

Numerical tests









Choice of the initial state and $M^3 = 64^3$ accordingly to CARRILLO ET AL. (2020).
Observation of **consistent results** with CARRILLO ET AL. (2020) for a certain width and a certain shift of the domain to avoid coincidence of the singularity with a grid point.

Numerical tests



Comparison of the solution profiles for different widths and shifts of domains.

Numerical tests



Comparison of the solution profiles for **different widths and shifts of domains**.
 For the same shift, consistent results are observed (second row).

Summary and open questions

Summary.

- Study of a **flexible novel approach** for the **reliable and efficient evaluation** of the **Landau collision operator**.

Open tasks and questions.

- Improvement of the implementation (e.g. parallelisation).
- Thorough **numerical comparisons** with existing approaches.
- **Generalisation** of the employed approach (e.g. Hermite functions).
- Enhancement of the stability behaviour for large time stepsizes.
Extension of **splitting-type methods** to regular and singular kernels.

Thank you!