General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Novel approaches for the reliable and efficient numerical evaluation of the Landau operator

Mechthild Thalhammer
Leopold–Franzens-Universität Innsbruck, Austria

Women in nonlinear dispersive PDEs
Banff, Canada, February 2023

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Objectives

Future objective. Study operator splitting methods for multi-species Vlasov–Maxwell–Landau equations. A favourable solver for the Landau equation represents a fundamental component of the entire algorithm.

Current objective. Focus on the study of the collision integral, that is, design reliable and efficient methods for

- the numerical evaluation of the Landau operator and

- the time integration of the spatially homogeneous Landau equation.

In preparation. J. A. CARRILLO, M. TH. *Novel approaches for the reliable and efficient numerical evaluation of the Landau operator.*

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Inspiration

J. A. CARRILLO, J. HU, L. WANG, J. WU.
A particle method for the homogeneous Landau equation.
Journal of Computational Physics X 7 (2020) 100066.
www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590055220300184

Y. LI, Y. HE, Y. SUN, J. NIESEN, H. QIN, J. LIU.
Solving the Vlasov–Maxwell equations using Hamiltonian splitting.
Journal of Computational Physics 396 (2019) 381–399.
www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021999119304784

L. PARESCHI, G. RUSSO, G. TOSCANI.
Fast spectral methods for the Fokker–Planck–Landau collision operator.
Journal of Computational Physics 165 (2000) 216–236.
www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021999100966129

Y. WANG.
The two-species Vlasov–Maxwell–Landau system in $\mathbb{R}^3$.
Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire 32 (2015)
1099–1123.
www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0294144914000523

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Scope of applications

In the lines of CARRILLO ET AL. (2020).

> *The Landau equation represents a fundamental kinetic equation. It describes the evolution of the distribution of charged particles in a collisional plasma where grazing collisions are predominant.*

> *Together with the Boltzmann equation, the Landau equation is considered to be one of the most important equations in kinetic theory. Relevant applications related to fusion reactors and the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) project gave rise to a renewed interest, amongst others in the field of computational plasma physics.*

> *In the special Maxwellian molecules case, the equation is reduced to a sort of degenerate linear Fokker–Planck equation which preserves the same moments as the Landau equation.*

> *The physically relevant Coulomb case can be derived from the Boltzmann equation in the grazing collision limit when particles interact via Coulomb forces.*

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Starting point

Landau equation. Consider the spatially homogeneous Landau equation

$$\partial_t f(v,t) = \big(Q(f,f)\big)(v,t), \quad (v,t) \in \Omega \times [t_0, T] \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}.$$

By means of convenient abbreviations for the integral kernel and the term involving the outer product

$$\varphi(u) = C |u|^{-3}, \quad P(u) = |u|^2 I - u \otimes u,$$

the Landau operator takes the compact form

$$\big(Q(f,f)\big)(v,t)$$
$$= \partial_v \cdot \int_\Omega \varphi(v-w) P(v-w) \big(\partial_v f(v,t) f(w,t) - f(v,t) \partial_w f(w,t)\big) \, \mathrm{d}w.$$

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Challenges

Computational issues. The numerical simulation of the physically most relevant case with Coulomb interaction requires

- computations in three dimensions (integrand involves $(v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^6$),
- a careful treatment of the singular integral kernel $\varphi(u) = C |u|^{-3}$.

Integral kernel (intersection along $v_3 = 0$)

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
**Summary and open questions**

Guide line

Stepwise generalisation of the approach and validation of the implementation for test problems with known solutions.

- Constant integral kernel.
  Maxwellian molecules
  case with BKW solution
  on unbounded domain.

- Regular integral kernel.
  First test problem on
  bounded domain and
  second test problem
  with localised solution.

- Singular integral kernel.


Sorry, certain technicalities will arise ...

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Focus

- Accuracy of results.
  Verification of approach
  on basis of several test
  problems.

- Efficiency of approach.
  Exploitation of savings by
  precomputations.

- Reliability in integration.
  Study of stability and
  conserved quantities.

Maxwellian molecules case (BKW solution)

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

First representation
Fundamental means

General approach

First representation of Landau operator.
Fundamental means regarding implementation.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

First representation
Fundamental means

First representation

Approach. Specify the Landau operator in components

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big(Q(f,f)\big)(v) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{v_1} \\ \partial_{v_2} \\ \partial_{v_3} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \int_\Omega \varphi(v-w) \\
&\qquad \times \begin{pmatrix} (v_2-w_2)^2+(v_3-w_3)^2 & -(v_1-w_1)(v_2-w_2) & -(v_1-w_1)(v_3-w_3) \\ -(v_1-w_1)(v_2-w_2) & (v_1-w_1)^2+(v_3-w_3)^2 & -(v_2-w_2)(v_3-w_3) \\ -(v_1-w_1)(v_3-w_3) & -(v_2-w_2)(v_3-w_3) & (v_1-w_1)^2+(v_2-w_2)^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
&\qquad \times \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{v_1} f(v)\,f(w) - f(v)\,\partial_{w_1} f(w) \\ \partial_{v_2} f(v)\,f(w) - f(v)\,\partial_{w_2} f(w) \\ \partial_{v_3} f(v)\,f(w) - f(v)\,\partial_{w_3} f(w) \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}w, \quad v=(v_1,v_2,v_3)\in\Omega,
\end{aligned}
$$

and perform straightforward calculations (expand integrand, determine divergence).

Notation. For notational simplicity, omit the dependence of the density function and the Landau operator on time.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

First representation
Fundamental means

First representation

Approach. Obtain a first representation of the Landau operator involving derivatives of the density function and linear operators that comprise (quite a few) integrals

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big(Q(f,f)\big)(v) \\
= \big(Q_{000}(f)\big)(v)\, f(v) \\
\quad + \big(Q_{100}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_1} f(v) + \big(Q_{010}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_2} f(v) \\
\quad + \big(Q_{001}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_3} f(v) \\
\quad + \big(Q_{200}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_1}^2 f(v) + \big(Q_{110}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_1 v_2} f(v) \\
\quad + \big(Q_{101}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_1 v_3} f(v) + \big(Q_{020}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_2}^2 f(v) \\
\quad + \big(Q_{011}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_2 v_3} f(v) + \big(Q_{002}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_3}^2 f(v)\,, \quad v \in \Omega\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

First representation
Fundamental means

Decisive integrals

Notation. Employ a convenient symbolic notation for the arising decisive integrals (indicate the degrees of the monomials as well as the orders of the derivatives of the integral kernel and the density function)

$$I_{w\,i_1\,i_2\,i_3\,\varphi\,j_1\,j_2\,j_3\,f\,k_1\,k_2\,k_3}(v)$$
$$= \int_\Omega w_1^{i_1}\,w_2^{i_2}\,w_2^{i_3}\,\partial_{v_1}^{j_1}\partial_{v_2}^{j_2}\partial_{v_3}^{j_3}\varphi(v-w)\,\partial_{w_1}^{k_1}\partial_{w_2}^{k_2}\partial_{w_3}^{k_3}f(w)\,\mathrm{d}w, \quad v\in\Omega.$$

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

First representation
Fundamental means

Arising operators

A glance at the arising operators is enough ... ;-)

$(Q_{200}(f))(v)$

$\quad = v_2^2\, I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,000\,f\,000}(v) + v_3^2\, I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,000\,f\,000}(v) - 2\,v_2\, I_{w\,010\,\varphi\,000\,f\,000}(v)$

$\qquad - 2\,v_3\, I_{w\,001\,\varphi\,000\,f\,000}(v) + I_{w\,020\,\varphi\,000\,f\,000}(v) + I_{w\,002\,\varphi\,000\,f\,000}(v),$

$(Q_{110}(f))(v)$

$\quad = -2\,v_1\,v_2\, I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,000\,f\,000}(v) + 2\,v_1\, I_{w\,010\,\varphi\,000\,f\,000}(v) + 2\,v_2\, I_{w\,100\,\varphi\,000\,f\,000}(v)$

$\qquad - 2\,I_{w\,110\,\varphi\,000\,f\,000}(v).$

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

First representation
Fundamental means

Arising operators

$$(Q_{100}(f))(v)$$
$$= v_1\, v_2 \left(-I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,010}\, f_{000}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,000}\, f_{010}{}^{(v)} \right)$$
$$\quad + v_1\, v_3 \left(-I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,001}\, f_{000}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,000}\, f_{001}{}^{(v)} \right)$$
$$\quad + v_2^2 \left(I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,100}\, f_{000}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,000}\, f_{100}{}^{(v)} \right)$$
$$\quad + v_3^2 \left(I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,100}\, f_{000}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,000}\, f_{100}{}^{(v)} \right)$$
$$\quad + v_1 \left(-2\, I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,000}\, f_{000}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,010\,\varphi\,010}\, f_{000}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,001\,\varphi\,001}\, f_{000}{}^{(v)} \right.$$
$$\quad\quad \left. - I_{w\,010\,\varphi\,000}\, f_{010}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,001\,\varphi\,000}\, f_{001}{}^{(v)} \right)$$
$$\quad + v_2 \left(-2\, I_{w\,010\,\varphi\,100}\, f_{000}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,100\,\varphi\,010}\, f_{000}{}^{(v)} + 2\, I_{w\,010\,\varphi\,000}\, f_{100}{}^{(v)} \right.$$
$$\quad\quad \left. - I_{w\,100\,\varphi\,000}\, f_{010}{}^{(v)} \right)$$
$$\quad + v_3 \left(-2\, I_{w\,001\,\varphi\,100}\, f_{000}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,100\,\varphi\,001}\, f_{000}{}^{(v)} + 2\, I_{w\,001\,\varphi\,000}\, f_{100}{}^{(v)} \right.$$
$$\quad\quad \left. - I_{w\,100\,\varphi\,000}\, f_{001}{}^{(v)} \right)$$
$$\quad + 2\, I_{w\,100\,\varphi\,000}\, f_{000}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,020\,\varphi\,100}\, f_{000}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,002\,\varphi\,100}\, f_{000}{}^{(v)}$$
$$\quad - I_{w\,110\,\varphi\,010}\, f_{000}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,101\,\varphi\,001}\, f_{000}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,020\,\varphi\,000}\, f_{100}{}^{(v)}$$
$$\quad - I_{w\,002\,\varphi\,000}\, f_{100}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,110\,\varphi\,000}\, f_{010}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,101\,\varphi\,000}\, f_{001}{}^{(v)}.$$

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

First representation
Fundamental means

Arising operators

$$(Q_{000}(f))(v)$$
$$= -v_1^2\big(I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,010\,f\,010}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,001\,f\,001}{}^{(v)}\big) + v_1\,v_2\big(I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,010\,f\,100}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,100\,f\,010}{}^{(v)}\big)$$
$$+ v_1\,v_3\big(I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,001\,f\,100}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,100\,f\,001}{}^{(v)}\big) - v_2^2\big(I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,100\,f\,100}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,001\,f\,001}{}^{(v)}\big)$$
$$+ v_2\,v_3\big(I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,001\,f\,010}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,010\,f\,001}{}^{(v)}\big) - v_3^2\big(I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,100\,f\,100}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,010\,f\,010}{}^{(v)}\big)$$
$$+ v_1\big(2\,I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,000\,f\,100}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,010\,\varphi\,010\,f\,100}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,001\,\varphi\,001\,f\,100}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,010\,\varphi\,100\,f\,010}{}^{(v)} + 2\,I_{w\,100\,\varphi\,010\,f\,010}{}^{(v)}$$
$$- I_{w\,001\,\varphi\,100\,f\,001}{}^{(v)} + 2\,I_{w\,100\,\varphi\,001\,f\,001}{}^{(v)}\big)$$
$$+ v_2\big(2\,I_{w\,010\,\varphi\,100\,f\,100}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,100\,\varphi\,010\,f\,100}{}^{(v)} + 2\,I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,000\,f\,010}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,100\,\varphi\,100\,f\,010}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,001\,\varphi\,001\,f\,010}{}^{(v)}$$
$$- I_{w\,001\,\varphi\,010\,f\,001}{}^{(v)} + 2\,I_{w\,010\,\varphi\,001\,f\,001}{}^{(v)}\big)$$
$$+ v_3\big(2\,I_{w\,001\,\varphi\,100\,f\,100}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,100\,\varphi\,001\,f\,100}{}^{(v)} + 2\,I_{w\,001\,\varphi\,010\,f\,010}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,010\,\varphi\,001\,f\,010}{}^{(v)} + 2\,I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,000\,f\,001}{}^{(v)}$$
$$- I_{w\,100\,\varphi\,100\,f\,001}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,010\,\varphi\,010\,f\,001}{}^{(v)}\big)$$
$$- 2\,I_{w\,100\,\varphi\,000\,f\,100}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,020\,\varphi\,100\,f\,100}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,002\,\varphi\,100\,f\,100}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,110\,\varphi\,010\,f\,100}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,101\,\varphi\,001\,f\,100}{}^{(v)}$$
$$- 2\,I_{w\,010\,\varphi\,000\,f\,010}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,110\,\varphi\,100\,f\,010}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,200\,\varphi\,010\,f\,010}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,002\,\varphi\,010\,f\,010}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,011\,\varphi\,001\,f\,010}{}^{(v)}$$
$$- 2\,I_{w\,001\,\varphi\,000\,f\,001}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,101\,\varphi\,100\,f\,001}{}^{(v)} + I_{w\,011\,\varphi\,010\,f\,001}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,200\,\varphi\,001\,f\,001}{}^{(v)} - I_{w\,020\,\varphi\,001\,f\,001}{}^{(v)}.$$

The numerical verification of the correctness of all terms on test problems with known solutions seemed to be a good idea ... ;-)

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

First representation
Fundamental means

Fundamental means

Main task. Compute suitable approximations to the arising derivatives and the decisive integrals, e.g.

$$\partial_{v_1}^2 f(v), \quad I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,000\,f\,000}(v) = \int_\Omega \varphi(v-w)\,f(w)\,\mathrm{d}w, \quad v \in \Omega.$$

Approach.

- Application of Fourier spectral method

- Quadrature approximation nearby singularity of kernel

- Identification of basic integrals involving Fourier functions

Implementation.

- Use of fast Fourier techniques (FFT / IFFT)

- Summation along certain directions (*einsum*)

- Observation of reduced computational complexity

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

First representation
Fundamental means

For the moment ...

Remark. The following considerations are valid for a regular integral kernel. The modified approach for a kernel with a singularity at the origin is detailed below.

Just for the moment, to have a picture in mind ...

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

First representation
Fundamental means

Fourier spectral method

Fourier functions. Consider well-known Fourier functions

$$\mathscr{F}_\kappa^{(\alpha)}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}} \, e^{\mu_\kappa^{(\alpha)}(\xi - \alpha_1)}, \quad \mu_\kappa^{(\alpha)} = \frac{2\pi i \kappa}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1},$$

$$\mathscr{F}_\kappa^{(\alpha)}(\alpha_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}} = \mathscr{F}_\kappa^{(\alpha)}(\alpha_2), \quad \partial_\xi \mathscr{F}_\kappa^{(\alpha)}(\xi) = \mu_\kappa^{(\alpha)} \mathscr{F}_\kappa^{(\alpha)}(\xi),$$

$$\xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \alpha_1 < \alpha_2.$$

In particular, in three dimensions, denote

$$F_m(v) = \mathscr{F}_{m_1}^{(b_{11}, b_{12})}(v_1) \, \mathscr{F}_{m_2}^{(b_{21}, b_{22})}(v_2) \, \mathscr{F}_{m_3}^{(b_{31}, b_{32})}(v_3),$$

$$v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \Omega_{\mathscr{F}}, \quad m = (m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathscr{M},$$

$$\Omega_{\mathscr{F}} = [b_{11}, b_{12}] \times [b_{21}, b_{22}] \times [b_{31}, b_{32}],$$

$$\mathscr{M} = \{-\tfrac{1}{2} M_1, \ldots, \tfrac{1}{2} M_1 - 1\} \times \cdots \times \{-\tfrac{1}{2} M_3, \ldots, \tfrac{1}{2} M_3 - 1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^3.$$

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

First representation
Fundamental means

Fourier spectral method

General benefits.

- High accuracy for localised regular functions

- High efficiency (implementation based on FFT / IFFT)

Landau equation (Coulomb potential, d = 3)
Solution at time t = 0 (Section along v_3 = 0)

Landau equation (Coulomb potential, d = 3)
Solution at time t = 20 (Section along v_3 = 0)

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

First representation
Fundamental means

Fourier spectral method

Within our setting. Recall the typical form of the decisive integrals

$$I_{w\,000\,\varphi\,000\,f\,000}(v) = \int_\Omega \varphi(v-w)\,f(w)\,\mathrm{d}w\,, \quad v \in \Omega\,.$$

Specific advantage. The particular identity

$$F_\ell(v-w)\,F_m(w) = \Gamma_\ell^{(b)}\,F_\ell(v)\,F_{m-\ell}(w)\,,$$

$$\Gamma_\ell^{(b)} = \mathrm{e}^{-\mu_{\ell_1}^{(b_{11},b_{12})}\,b_{11}}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mu_{\ell_2}^{(b_{21},b_{22})}\,b_{21}}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mu_{\ell_3}^{(b_{31},b_{32})}\,b_{31}}\,,$$

suggests to study Fourier series expansions for both, the density function and the integral kernel.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

First representation
Fundamental means

Fourier spectral method

Fourier series expansions. Use approximations based on Fourier series expansions for the integral kernel and its (known) derivatives

$$\varphi(v) \approx \sum_{\ell \in \mathscr{L}} \varphi_\ell \, F_\ell(v),$$

$$\partial_{v_1}^{j_1} \partial_{v_2}^{j_2} \partial_{v_3}^{j_3} \varphi(v) \approx \sum_{\ell \in \mathscr{L}} \varphi_{j_1 j_2 j_3 \ell} \, F_\ell(v).$$

Employ a Fourier series expansion for the density function and the resulting representations for its derivatives

$$f(v) \approx \sum_{m \in \mathscr{M}} f_m \, F_m(v),$$

$$\partial_{v_1}^{k_1} \partial_{v_2}^{k_2} \partial_{v_3}^{k_3} f(v) \approx \sum_{m \in \mathscr{M}} f_m \left(\mu_{m_1}^{(b_{11}, b_{12})}\right)^{k_1} \left(\mu_{m_2}^{(b_{21}, b_{22})}\right)^{k_2} \left(\mu_{m_3}^{(b_{31}, b_{32})}\right)^{k_3} F_m(v).$$

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

First representation
Fundamental means

Basic integrals

Calculations. Straightforward calculations yield explicit representations for basic integrals involving monomials and Fourier functions

$$I_j(k, m_j) = \int_{b_{j1}}^{b_{j2}} w_j^k \, \mathscr{F}_{m_j}^{(b_{j1}, b_{j2})}(w_j) \, \mathrm{d} w_j = \begin{cases} \sqrt{b_{j2} - b_{j1}}, & m_j = 0, \quad k = 0, \\ \dfrac{b_{j2}^2 - b_{j1}^2}{2\sqrt{b_{j2} - b_{j1}}}, & m_j = 0, \quad k = 1, \\ \dfrac{b_{j2}^3 - b_{j1}^3}{3\sqrt{b_{j2} - b_{j1}}}, & m_j = 0, \quad k = 2, \\ 0, & m_j \neq 0, \quad k = 0, \\ \dfrac{\sqrt{b_{j2} - b_{j1}}}{\mu_{m_j}^{(b_{j1}, b_{j2})}}, & m_j \neq 0, \quad k = 1, \\ \dfrac{(b_{j2}^2 - b_{j1}^2)\mu_{m_j}^{(b_{j1}, b_{j2})} - 2(b_{j2} - b_{j1})}{\sqrt{b_{j2} - b_{j1}}(\mu_{m_j}^{(b_{j1}, b_{j2})})^2}, & m_j \neq 0, \quad k = 2, \end{cases} \quad j \in \{1, \dots, d\}.$$

Reductions. The observed simplification leads to significant reductions in computational complexity for three dimensions.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach
Numerical tests

Constant integral kernel

Maxwellian molecules case.
BKW solution on unbounded domain.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach
Numerical tests

Maxwellian molecules case

Setting. Study the particular situation, where the underlying domain for the velocity coincides with the Euclidian space and the Landau operator involves a constant integral kernel

$$\varphi(v) = C,$$

$$\big(Q(f,f)\big)(v) = C\,\partial_v \cdot \int_\Omega P(v-w)\big(\partial_v f(v)\,f(w) - f(v)\,\partial_w f(w)\big)\,\mathrm{d}w,$$

$$v \in \Omega = \mathbb{R}^d.$$

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach
Numerical tests

BWK solution

Landau equation. The BKW solution to the Landau equation involving a constant kernel is given by

$$\varphi(v) = C = \tfrac{1}{24}, \quad (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = \left(\tfrac{5}{2}, \tfrac{3}{2}, \tfrac{1}{6}\right), \quad K(t) = 1 - \tfrac{1}{2}\,e^{-\alpha_3 t},$$

$$f(v, t) = \tfrac{1}{(2\pi K(t))^{3/2}}\,e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{K(t)}|v|^2}\left(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\,\tfrac{1}{K(t)} + \tfrac{1}{2}\,\tfrac{1-K(t)}{(K(t))^2}\,|v|^2\right),$$

$$\partial_t f(v, t) = \big(Q(f, f)\big)(v, t), \quad (v, t) \in \Omega \times [t_0, T].$$

Landau operator. For a particular choice of the density function, the associated Landau operator reads as

$$f(v) = \tfrac{1}{2\pi^{3/2}}\,e^{-|v|^2}\left(2\,|v|^2 - 1\right),$$

$$\big(Q(f, f)\big)(v) = \tfrac{1}{6\pi^{3/2}}\,e^{-|v|^2}\left(|v|^4 - 5\,|v|^2 + \tfrac{15}{4}\right), \quad v \in \Omega.$$

**An ideal situation to verify our approach
and perform first numerical tests. :-)**

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach
Numerical tests

A few more details ...

Recall the representation for the Landau operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big(Q(&f,f)\big)(v) \\
&= \big(Q_{000}(f)\big)(v)\, f(v) \\
&\quad + \big(Q_{100}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_1} f(v) + \big(Q_{010}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_2} f(v) \\
&\quad + \big(Q_{001}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_3} f(v) \\
&\quad + \big(Q_{200}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_1}^2 f(v) + \big(Q_{110}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_1 v_2} f(v) \\
&\quad + \big(Q_{101}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_1 v_3} f(v) + \big(Q_{020}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_2}^2 f(v) \\
&\quad + \big(Q_{011}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_2 v_3} f(v) + \big(Q_{002}(f)\big)(v)\, \partial_{v_3}^2 f(v)\,, \quad v \in \Omega\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on the Fourier spectral coefficients $(f_m)_{m \in \mathcal{M}}$ of the density function, approximations to its derivatives are computed through

$$
\partial_{v_1}^{k_1} \partial_{v_2}^{k_2} \partial_{v_3}^{k_3} f(v) \approx \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} f_m \left(\mu_{m_1}^{(b_{11}, b_{12})}\right)^{k_1} \left(\mu_{m_2}^{(b_{21}, b_{22})}\right)^{k_2} \left(\mu_{m_3}^{(b_{31}, b_{32})}\right)^{k_3} F_m(v)\,.
$$

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach
Numerical tests

A few more details ...

Due to the fact that the integral kernel is constant and its derivatives vanish, approximations to the decisive integrals are obtained through

$$
C \, \widetilde{I}_{w \, i_1 i_2 i_3 \, f \, k_1 k_2 k_3}
$$
$$
= C \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} f_m^{(F)} \left(\mu_{m_1}^{(b_{11}, b_{12})} \right)^{k_1} \left(\mu_{m_2}^{(b_{21}, b_{22})} \right)^{k_2} \left(\mu_{m_3}^{(b_{31}, b_{32})} \right)^{k_3}
$$
$$
\times I_1(i_1, m_1) \, I_2(i_2, m_2) \, I_3(i_3, m_3) \, ,
$$
$$
\approx I_{w \, i_1 i_2 i_3 \, \varphi \, 000 \, f \, k_1 k_2 k_3} = C \int_\Omega w_1^{i_1} \, w_2^{i_2} \, w_3^{i_3} \, \partial_{w_1}^{k_1} \partial_{w_2}^{k_2} \partial_{w_3}^{k_3} f(w) \, \mathrm{d} w \, .
$$

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach
Numerical tests

A few more details ...

Overall, the implementation is simpler ...

$$(Q_{000}(f))(v) \approx 2\,C\big(-\tilde{I}_{w\,100}f\,100 - \tilde{I}_{w\,010}f\,010 - \tilde{I}_{w\,001}f\,001\big),$$

$$(Q_{100}(f))(v) \approx C\big(v_1\big(-2\tilde{I}_{w\,000}f\,000 - \tilde{I}_{w\,010}f\,010 - \tilde{I}_{w\,001}f\,001\big) + 2\tilde{I}_{w\,100}f\,000 + \tilde{I}_{w\,110}f\,010 + \tilde{I}_{w\,101}f\,001\big),$$

$$(Q_{010}(f))(v) \approx C\big(v_2\big(-2\tilde{I}_{w\,000}f\,000 - \tilde{I}_{w\,100}f\,100 - \tilde{I}_{w\,001}f\,001\big) + 2\tilde{I}_{w\,010}f\,000 + \tilde{I}_{w\,110}f\,100 + \tilde{I}_{w\,011}f\,001\big),$$

$$(Q_{001}(f))(v) \approx C\big(v_3\big(-2\tilde{I}_{w\,000}f\,000 - \tilde{I}_{w\,100}f\,100 - \tilde{I}_{w\,010}f\,010\big) + 2\tilde{I}_{w\,001}f\,000 + \tilde{I}_{w\,101}f\,100 + \tilde{I}_{w\,011}f\,010\big),$$

$$(Q_{200}(f))(v) \approx C\big(v_2^2\,\tilde{I}_{w\,000}f\,000 + v_3^2\,\tilde{I}_{w\,000}f\,000 - 2\,v_2\,\tilde{I}_{w\,010}f\,000 - 2\,v_3\,\tilde{I}_{w\,001}f\,000 + \tilde{I}_{w\,020}f\,000 + \tilde{I}_{w\,002}f\,000\big),$$

$$(Q_{110}(f))(v) \approx 2\,C\big(-v_1\,v_2\,\tilde{I}_{w\,000}f\,000 + v_1\,\tilde{I}_{w\,010}f\,000 + v_2\,\tilde{I}_{w\,100}f\,000 - \tilde{I}_{w\,110}f\,000\big),$$

$$(Q_{101}(f))(v) \approx 2\,C\big(-v_1\,v_3\,\tilde{I}_{w\,000}f\,000 + v_1\,\tilde{I}_{w\,001}f\,000 + v_3\,\tilde{I}_{w\,100}f\,000 - \tilde{I}_{w\,101}f\,000\big),$$

$$(Q_{020}(f))(v) \approx C\big(v_1^2\,\tilde{I}_{w\,000}f\,000 + v_3^2\,\tilde{I}_{w\,000}f\,000 - 2\,v_1\,\tilde{I}_{w\,100}f\,000 - 2\,v_3\,\tilde{I}_{w\,001}f\,000 + \tilde{I}_{w\,200}f\,000 + \tilde{I}_{w\,002}f\,000\big),$$

$$(Q_{011}(f))(v) \approx 2\,C\big(-v_2\,v_3\,\tilde{I}_{w\,000}f\,000 + v_2\,\tilde{I}_{w\,001}f\,000 + v_3\,\tilde{I}_{w\,010}f\,000 - \tilde{I}_{w\,011}f\,000\big),$$

$$(Q_{002}(f))(v) \approx C\big(v_1^2\,\tilde{I}_{w\,000}f\,000 + v_2^2\,\tilde{I}_{w\,000}f\,000 - 2\,v_1\,\tilde{I}_{w\,100}f\,000 - 2\,v_2\,\tilde{I}_{w\,010}f\,000 + \tilde{I}_{w\,200}f\,000 + \tilde{I}_{w\,020}f\,000\big).$$

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach
Numerical tests

Illustration

Profiles of the BKW solution at two times (right) and corresponding cross sections (left).

https://techmath.uibk.ac.at/mecht/MyHomepage/Research/Movie_Maxwellian_Solution3d.m4v
https://techmath.uibk.ac.at/mecht/MyHomepage/Research/Movie_Maxwellian_Solution3dSection.m4v

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach
Numerical tests

Numerical tests

Verification, computation time, accuracy. Study the numerical evaluation of the Landau operator based on slightly differing implementations for a non-symmetric domain versus a symmetric domain

$$\Omega_{\mathscr{F}} = [-9, 10] \times [-10, 11] \times [-11, 12], \quad |\mathscr{M}| = M_1 \cdot M_2 \cdot M_3 = 100 \cdot 110 \cdot 120,$$

$$\Omega_{\mathscr{F}} = [-10, 10]^3, \quad |\mathscr{M}| = M^3 = 100^3.$$

Verify the correctness of the approach. Observe a reasonable magnitude of the overall computation times and highly accurate results (confirmed by a comparison with the two-dimensional case).

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach
Numerical tests

Numerical tests

Stability, accuracy, conserved quantities. Study the time integration of the Landau equation based on a fourth-order explicit Runge–Kutta method.

- At each time step, compute the discrete L^2-error of the solution.

- Determine approximations to mass and energy (conserved quantities)

$$\int_\Omega f(v,t)\,\mathrm{d}v = \int_\Omega f(v,t_0)\,\mathrm{d}v, \quad \int_\Omega |v|^2 f(v,t)\,\mathrm{d}v = \int_\Omega |v|^2 f(v,t_0)\,\mathrm{d}v,$$

and observe the decay of the entropy (not illustrated here)

$$\int_\Omega f(v,t) \ln\big(f(v,t)\big)\,\mathrm{d}v \le \int_\Omega f(v,t_0) \ln\big(f(v,t_0)\big)\,\mathrm{d}v, \quad t \in [t_0, T].$$

Splitting approach. Contrast the results with those obtained by a Lie-splitting-type method, which provides a reliable first-order alternative, since in particular the terms related to the second-order derivatives $\partial_{v_1}^2 f, \partial_{v_2}^2 f, \partial_{v_3}^2 f$ are treated separately in a semi-implicit manner.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach
Numerical tests

Numerical tests

Stability. Verify the temporal orders of time integration methods.

- The observations hold for the explicit Euler method and higher-order explicit Runge–Kutta methods.

- For relatively small time stepsizes, obtain the expected results. For larger time stepsizes, the procedure fails due to severe stability issues!

- A first-order Lie–Splitting-type method provides a robust alternative. Even for a significantly higher number of Fourier functions ($M^3 = 100^3$), it yields a reliable result for any choice of the time stepsize.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach
Numerical tests

Numerical tests ($d = 2$)

Long-term integration ($d = 2$).

Exact solution and convergence towards equilibrium (time $T = 100$).

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach
Numerical tests

Numerical tests ($d = 2$)

Long-term integration ($d = 2$).

Numerical solution obtained by an adaptive explicit Runge–Kutta methods (ode45)
and convergence towards equilibrium (time $T = 100$).

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach
Numerical tests

Numerical tests ($d = 2$)

Long-term integration ($d = 2$).

Time integration by an adaptive explicit Runge–Kutta methods (ode45).
Approximation of mass and energy at times $t = 10, 20, 50$ (left to right).

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach
Numerical tests

Numerical tests ($d = 2$)

Long-term integration ($d = 2$).

Time integration by an adaptive explicit Runge–Kutta methods (ode45).
Approximation of the solution and associated quantities untill time $T = 100$.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Regular integral kernel

First test problem on bounded domain.
Second test problem with localised solution.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Approach

Setting. Extend the former approach for the Maxwellian molecules case and study the more general situation, where the Landau operator involves a regular integral kernel

$$\big(Q(f,f)\big)(v) = \partial_v \cdot \int_\Omega \varphi(v-w)\, P(v-w) \big(\partial_v f(v)\, f(w) - f(v)\, \partial_w f(w)\big)\, \mathrm{d}w,$$
$$v \in \Omega.$$

Use that favourable approximations to the kernel and its derivatives are provided by Fourier series expansions.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

A few more details ...

Fundamental means. Recall the relations for the decisive integrals, the approximations by Fourier series for the kernel and the density functions as well as their derivatives, and the particular identity for Fourier functions

$$I_{w\,i_1 i_2 i_3\,\varphi\,j_1 j_2 j_3\,f\,k_1 k_2 k_3}(v) = \int_\Omega w_1^{i_1} w_2^{i_2} w_2^{i_3} \partial_{v_1}^{j_1} \partial_{v_2}^{j_2} \partial_{v_3}^{j_3} \varphi(v-w) \partial_{w_1}^{k_1} \partial_{w_2}^{k_2} \partial_{w_3}^{k_3} f(w)\, dw,$$

$$\partial_{v_1}^{j_1} \partial_{v_2}^{j_2} \partial_{v_3}^{j_3} \varphi(v-w) \approx \sum_{\ell \in \mathscr{L}} \varphi_{j_1 j_2 j_3 \ell}\, F_\ell(v-w),$$

$$\partial_{v_1}^{k_1} \partial_{v_2}^{k_2} \partial_{v_3}^{k_3} f(v) \approx \sum_{m \in \mathscr{M}} f_m \left(\mu_{m_1}^{(b_{11},b_{12})}\right)^{k_1} \left(\mu_{m_2}^{(b_{21},b_{22})}\right)^{k_2} \left(\mu_{m_3}^{(b_{31},b_{32})}\right)^{k_3} F_m(v),$$

$$F_\ell(v-w)\, F_m(w) = \Gamma_\ell^{(b)} F_\ell(v)\, F_{m-\ell}(w), \quad \Gamma_\ell^{(b)} = e^{-\mu_{\ell_1}^{(b_{11},b_{12})} b_{11}}\, e^{-\mu_{\ell_2}^{(b_{21},b_{22})} b_{21}}\, e^{-\mu_{\ell_3}^{(b_{31},b_{32})} b_{31}}.$$

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

A few more details ...

Resulting approximations. Based on these relations, approximations to the decisive integrals are obtained

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{I}_{w\,i_1 i_2 i_3 \varphi\, j_1 j_2 j_3\, f\, k_1 k_2 k_3}(v) & \\
= \sum_{\ell \in \mathscr{L}} & \varphi_\ell\, \Gamma_\ell^{(b)} \left(\mu_{\ell_1}^{(b_{11}, b_{12})}\right)^{j_1} \left(\mu_{\ell_2}^{(b_{21}, b_{22})}\right)^{j_2} \left(\mu_{\ell_3}^{(b_{31}, b_{32})}\right)^{j_3} F_\ell(v) \\
& \times \sum_{m \in \mathscr{M}} f_m\, I_1(i_1, m_1 - \ell_1)\, I_2(i_2, m_2 - \ell_2)\, I_3(i_3, m_3 - \ell_3) \\
& \times \left(\mu_{m_1}^{(b_{11}, b_{12})}\right)^{k_1} \left(\mu_{m_2}^{(b_{21}, b_{22})}\right)^{k_2} \left(\mu_{m_3}^{(b_{31}, b_{32})}\right)^{k_3} \\
\approx I_{w\,i_1 i_2 i_3 \varphi\, j_1 j_2 j_3\, f\, k_1 k_2 k_3}(v)\,, & \quad v \in \Omega_{\mathscr{F}}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

A few more details ...

Implementation and observation. Essential ingredients for the efficient implementation are

- matrix multiplications,
- summations along certain directions (*einsum*),
- inverse fast Fourier transforms.

A crucial observation is that the costs for the computation of the inner sums amount to the evaluation of single and double sums.

Type of decisive integral	# Summations along directions (*einsum*)
w 000	0
w 100, w 010, w 001	1
w 200, w 020, w 002	1
w 110, w 101, w 011	2

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Basic test problem

Maxwellian molecules case. For a constant kernel and a prescribed density function, the associated Landau operator is given by

$$f(v) = \frac{1}{2\pi^{3/2}} e^{-|v|^2} \left(2|v|^2 - 1 \right),$$

$$\left(Q(f,f) \right)(v) = \frac{1}{6\pi^{3/2}} e^{-|v|^2} \left(|v|^4 - 5|v|^2 + \tfrac{15}{4} \right), \quad v \in \Omega.$$

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Numerical tests

Main purpose. Consider the Maxwellian molecules case as a preliminary test problem to verify the extended approach and implementation for regular integral kernels.

Maxwellian molecules case

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Artificial test problem

Bounded domain. For a prescribed kernel and a prescribed density function, the associated Landau operator is given by

$$\varphi(v) = \cos(v_1)\cos(v_2)\cos(v_3),$$

$$f(v) = \sin(v_1)\sin(v_2)\sin(v_3),$$

$$q_{11}(v) = v_2\sin(2\,v_2)\cos(2\,v_3) + v_3\cos(2\,v_2)\sin(2\,v_3) - \tfrac{1}{2}\,v_2\sin(2\,v_2) - \tfrac{1}{2}\,v_3\sin(2\,v_3),$$

$$q_{12} = \tfrac{1}{2}\cos(2\,v_2) + \tfrac{1}{2}\cos(2\,v_3) - \tfrac{1}{2},$$

$$q_1(v) = q_{11}(v) + q_{12}(v),$$

$$q_2(v) = v_1\sin(2\,v_1)\cos(2\,v_3) + \tfrac{1}{2}\cos(2\,v_3) - \tfrac{1}{2}\,v_1\sin(2\,v_1) - \tfrac{1}{2}\,v_3\sin(2\,v_3) - \tfrac{1}{2},$$

$$q_3(v) = -\tfrac{1}{2}\,v_1\sin(2\,v_1) - \tfrac{1}{2}\,v_2\sin(2\,v_2),$$

$$\big(Q(f,f)\big)(v) = \tfrac{\pi^3}{2}\big(q_1(v)\cos(2\,v_1) + q_2(v)\cos(2\,v_2) + q_3(v)\cos(2\,v_3) - \cos^2(v_3) + \tfrac{1}{2}\big),$$

$$v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \Omega = [-\pi, \pi]^3.$$

Remark. The above test problem is not suitable for the verification of the general approach (considerations in the context of quadrature approximations apply to unbounded domains).

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Numerical tests

Main purpose. Consider the above test problem on a bounded domain. Verify the extended approach and implementation for regular kernels. Observe reasonable computation times and high accuracy.

Test problem on bounded domain

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Artificial test problem

Unbounded domain. For a prescribed kernel and a prescribed density function, the associated Landau operator is given by

$$\varphi(v) = C\,e^{-v_1^2 - 2\,v_2^2 - 3\,v_3^2},$$

$$f(v) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\,v_1^2 - \frac{1}{4}\,v_2^2 - \frac{1}{8}\,v_3^2},$$

$$q_1(v) = -C\,\frac{\pi^{3/2}\sqrt{3}}{41006250}\,e^{-\frac{5}{6}\,v_1^2 - \frac{17}{36}\,v_2^2 - \frac{49}{200}\,v_3^2},$$

$$q_2(v) = 17500\,v_1^2\,v_2^2 + 7047\,v_1^2\,v_3^2 + 135\,v_2^2\,v_3^2 - 1005300\,v_1^2 + 111000\,v_2^2 + 46899\,v_3^2 + 369900,$$

$$\big(Q(f,f)\big)(v) = q_1(v)\,q_2(v),$$

$$v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \Omega = \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Remark. The above test problem is suitable for the verification of the general approach (considerations in the context of quadrature approximations apply).

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Numerical tests

Main purpose. Consider the above test problem on a bounded domain. Verify the extended approach and implementation for regular kernels. Observe reasonable computation times and high accuracy.

Test problem on unbounded domain

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Singular integral kernel

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Approach

Setting. Extend the former approach for regular integral kernels and study the relevant situation, where the Landau operator involves an integral kernel with a singularity at the origin

$$\varphi(v) = C |v|^{-3}, \quad P(v) = |v|^2 I - v \otimes v,$$

$$\big(Q(f, f) \big)(v, t)$$
$$= \partial_v \cdot \int_\Omega \varphi(v - w) P(v - w) \big(\partial_v f(v, t) f(w, t) - f(v, t) \partial_w f(w, t) \big) \, \mathrm{d}w.$$

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Approach

Starting point. Use the evident identity

$$\varphi = \underset{\substack{\text{regular function} \\ \text{above approach applies}}}{\psi} + \underset{\substack{\text{singular function} \\ \text{non-zero on small domain}}}{\varphi - \psi}$$

and analogous relations for the (known) derivatives of the kernel.

Realisation and implementation.

- Define a small neighbourhood of the origin, and determine the linear interpolants of the kernel and its derivatives.

- For the remaining differences, employ quadrature approximations.

- Transfer the main part of the additional effort to precomputations.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Preliminary numerical tests

Main purpose. Consider the Maxwellian molecules case as a preliminary test problem to verify the extended implementation for (possibly) singular integral kernels. Base quadrature approximations on width two (left) and four (right), respectively, and observe increasing precomputation times.

Maxwellian molecules case

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Approach and artificial test problem

Test problem. Consider the above stated, more meaningful artificial test problem involving the regular integral kernel

$$\varphi(v) = C\,e^{-v_1^2 - 2\,v_2^2 - 3\,v_3^2}\,, \quad v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \Omega = \mathbb{R}^3\,.$$

How to proceed when including quadrature approximations?
Pictures say more than a thousand words ...

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Approach and artificial test problem

Regular integral kernel and its first derivative.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Approach and artificial test problem

Restriction of the regular integral kernel and its derivative to a
(small) neighbourhood of the origin, where linear interpolation is applied.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Approach and artificial test problem

Regular kernel and its derivative. Linear interpolants. Sections along indices.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Approach and artificial test problem

Linear interpolants of the regular kernel and its derivative (used in Fourier series approximation). Corresponding differences (used in quadrature approximation).

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Approach and artificial test problem

Main purpose. Consider the artificial test problem involving a regular integral kernel. Verify the extended approach and implementation for a possibly singular kernel. Base the quadrature approximations on width two (left) and four (right), respectively. Observe high accuracy.

General approach   Approach (Regular kernel)
Constant integral kernel   Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Regular and singular kernels   **Approach (Singular kernel)**
Summary and open questions   Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Finally ...

**... we are ready for numerical evaluation of the
Landau operator in three dimensions with Coulomb interaction.**

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Approach and numerical tests

Integral kernel with a singularity at the origin and its first derivative.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Approach and numerical tests

Slight modification of the domain. By the choice of a non-symmetric domain the coincidence of a grid point with the singularity at the origin is avoided.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Approach and numerical tests

Restriction of the singular integral kernel and its derivative to a
(small) neighbourhood of the origin, where linear interpolation is applied.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Approach and numerical tests

Singular kernel and its derivative. Linear interpolants. Sections along indices.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Approach and numerical tests

Linear interpolants of the singular kernel and its derivative (used in Fourier series approximation). Corresponding differences (used in quadrature approximation).

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Illustration

Choice of the initial state accordingly to CARRILLO ET AL. (2020).
Profiles of the solution to the Landau equation with Coulomb interaction
at the initial time and a later time ($M^3 = 100^3$ Fourier functions).

https://techmath.uibk.ac.at/mecht/MyHomepage/Research/Movie_CoulombPotential_Solution3d.m4v

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Numerical tests

Choice of the initial state and $M^3 = 64^3$ accordingly to CARRILLO ET AL. (2020).
Observation of consistent results with CARRILLO ET AL. (2020) for a certain width and
a certain shift of the domain to avoid coincidence of the singularity with a grid point.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
**Numerical tests (Singular kernel)**

Numerical tests

Comparison of the solution profiles for different widths and shifts of domains.

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Approach (Regular kernel)
Numerical tests (Regular kernel)
Approach (Singular kernel)
Numerical tests (Singular kernel)

Numerical tests

Comparison of the solution profiles for different widths and shifts of domains.
For the same shift, consistent results are observed (second row).

General approach
Constant integral kernel
Regular and singular kernels
Summary and open questions

Summary and open questions

Summary.

- Study of a flexible novel approach for the reliable and efficient evaluation of the Landau collision operator.

Open tasks and questions.

- Improvement of the implementation (e.g. parallelisation).

- Thorough numerical comparisons with existing approaches.

- Generalisation of the employed approach (e.g. Hermite functions).

- Enhancement of the stability behaviour for large time stepsizes. Extension of splitting-type methods to regular and singular kernels.

Thank you!